Evaluation of the effectiveness of carbapenem and piperacillintazobactam prescribing guidelines within NHS Scotland

Alison Cockburn

SAPG Carbapenems Study Project Manager Lead Antimicrobial Pharmacist NHS Lothian



# Agenda

- Background
- Survey methodology, results
- NAS-PPS methodology, key results
- Future developments



# **Requirement for the project**

- Increasing use of carbapenems and piperacillintazobactam
- Increasing development of resistant infections
- 2013 SAPG Multi-Drug Resistant Gram Negative(MDRGNB) Guidelines
- Lack of intelligence on carbapenem/piperacillintazobactam best practice



# **Quality Improvement Approach**

- Survey of implementation of prescribing guidance in boards
- Point Prevalence Survey (PPS)of carbapenem and piperacillin-tazobactam use in Scottish acute hospitals
- Case studies to investigate best practise in use of these agents



# Survey of boards

- Survey monkey on-line tool developed
- Completed by up to 15 boards
- Key questions identified by SAPG Steering Group



# Indications for meropenem use





# Access to meropenem and piptaz





# **Survey key results**

#### Authorisation of prescribing of meropenem and piptaz





# Indications for piptaz use





# Routine suppression of meropenem and piptaz in the laboratory





#### **Use of carbapenem-sparing antibiotics**





# **Survey Key Results**





# **Survey Key Results Summary**

- Prescribing restrictions for Meropenem, less for Piptaz
- Authorisation restricted to micro/ID for Meropenem but junior clinicians also authorise Piptaz
- Supplies freely available for Piptaz, not for Meropenem
- Low level of laboratory result suppression
- Small range of Carbapenem-sparing antibiotics available



# BSAC National Antimicrobial Stewardship Point Prevalence System (NAS-PPS)



#### **Process: Sept-Oct 2015**

Set up
National
Trust / Health Board
Hospital
Wards

#### 2. Data Entry Ward Hospital

#### 3. Submit Trust /Health Board



Scottish Medicines Consortium 4. ReviewLocally/Regionally/Nationally

#### National results for all boards: Patients' gender and age





# **Prescription Rates**

Prescription rates on the day of the PPS were similar to annual rates, therefore the PPS was a typical day





# **Compliance With Antibiotic Policy**

Compliance high for meropenem, lower for piperacillin-tazobactam





# **Documentation Of Indication**

High level of documentation of Indication for meropenem,slightly lower level for piperacillintazobactam





# **Documentation Of Review/Stop Date**

Review/stop dates poorly documented in most boards for both meropenem and piperacillin-tazobactam





### **Individual Board Results**

#### **Point Prevalence Survey Results: Ayrshire and Arran**

Performance

This graph shows the Board's performance in relation to the top quartile of Boards (the 3 Boards with the highest percentage).

- Compliance with policy in this Board was low for meropenem and even lower for piptaz. Both were well below the top quartile of Boards.
- Review/stop dates were poorly documented for both meropenem and piptaz. This was the case in most Boards so this Board's performance was around the top quartile for both.
- Indication was well documented in this Board, with meropenem just below the top quartile (100% achieved in 9 boards), and slightly above the top quartile for piptaz.



• General: a good number of patients were sampled in this Board and the percentage prescribed meropenem and piptaz is around the middle of the range across Boards. There is room for improvement in most measures.

Prescription rates									
		Meropenem	Piptaz	Meropenem Piptaz Annual DDDs					
Annual prescription rate (DDDs)	National	84,053	138,064	6.7% 8.6%					
	This board	5,671	11,912	Day of PPS					
Patients in PPS on	National	129	337	9.3% 8.0%					
meropenem/piptaz	This board	12	27	35% 25% 15% 5% 5% 15% 25% 35%					

- Prescription rates in this Board as a percentage of the national total are shown in the graph above. •
- In most Boards, prescription rates on the day of the PPS (measured in numbers of patients) were similar to annual rates (measured in defined daily doses (DDD)), indicating that the PPS was a typical day.
- In this Board, there was slightly more prescribing of meropenem on the day of the PPS than usual.

		Demogra	phics	
Hospitals included	Patients sampled	Patients on anti- microbials	Gender of 48 patients on antimicrobials	Age of 48 patients on antimicrobials 0% 2%
Ayrshire Central Hospital	68	1		■ <1 month ■ 1-23 months
Biggart Hospital	131	2		■ 2-15 ■ 16-29 ■ 30-49
Crosshouse District General Hospital	514	32	Male 46% Female	
University Hospital Ayr	318	13	54%	50-64 13%
				₩ 65-79 ₩>80
Total numbers	1031	48		35%



Scottish Consortium

## **Conclusions – Meropenem:**

- Meropenem is subject to prescribing restrictions in most boards and compliance with antibiotic policies is high – Alert Antibiotic Policies
- Indication for use is well documented but review/stop dates were poorly documented
- Access mechanisms are in place in most boards and 60% boards use automatic suppression of lab reporting
- Low use of carbapenem sparing agents in boards



### **Conclusions: Piperacillin-tazobactam**

- Piperacillin-tazobactam is not subject to prescribing restrictions in most boards and compliance with antibiotic policies is much lower (only 4 boards in top quartile)
- Indication for use was well documented in most boards but review/stop dates poorly documented in the majority
- Freely available for use using a variety of mechanisms, only a minority of boards routinely suppress reporting by the laboratory



# **Next Steps**

 In depth review of prescribing processes for these agents to examine best practise

Interviews with clinicians

Update of SAPG MDRGNB guidelines

